In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 19079 of 2005

Date of Decision: 14.12.2006

Smt. Shakuntala

…Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

…Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

PRESENT: Mr. S.R. Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

JUDGMENT

M.M. KUMAR, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has placed on record a copy of the letter dated 24.11.2006, which is taken on record as Mark-A, showing that an amount of Rs. 40,196/- has been sanctioned in favour of the petitioner and the same has been received by her on 22.11.2006 as is evident from the receipt Mark-B.

In view of above, the dispute regarding medical reimbursement appears to be over. However, Mr. S.R. Hooda, learned counsel for the petitioner has disputed that some expenses

have been deducted incorrectly by treating the petitioner as Out Door Patient, which is impermissible. In that regard, the petitioner may make a representation, if so advised, which shall be disposed of in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(M.M. KUMAR)
JUDGE

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

December 14, 2006
Pkapoor